

Message

From: Jones, Lindsey [jones.lindsey@epa.gov]
Sent: 5/6/2019 4:23:35 PM
To: Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]
CC: Bolen, Brittany [bolen.brittany@epa.gov]; Lovell, Will (William) [lovell.william@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Administrator's Decision on FY 2020-2021 Agency Priority Goal Areas

Hi Nancy,

Thanks for flagging. I don't think the goal and tool are necessarily mis-aligned. Even if the adult benefits are retained, it wouldn't be to the exclusion of benefits to kids. Open to discussing further if I've missed something...

Best,
Lindsey

From: Beck, Nancy
Sent: Thursday, May 2, 2019 1:51 PM
To: Jones, Lindsey <jones.lindsey@epa.gov>
Cc: Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Administrator's Decision on FY 2020-2021 Agency Priority Goal Areas

Flagging for OP:

Since the LCR benefits may potentially come from adults-depending on analysis, is focusing on the LCR as a tool to reduce lead exposure to kids appropriate, when its possible the emphasis will shift?

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Principal Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSP
P: 202-564-1273
beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Greaves, Holly
Sent: Thursday, May 2, 2019 1:41 PM
To: Leopold, Matt (OGC) <Leopold.Matt@epa.gov>; Leadership_Assistant_Administrators <Leadership_Assistant_Administrators@epa.gov>; Sheehan, Charles <Sheehan.Charles@epa.gov>; Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov>; Leadership_Associate_Administrators <Leadership_Associate_Administrators@epa.gov>; Leadership_Regional_Administrators <Leadership_Regional_Administrators@epa.gov>
Cc: Wheeler, Andrew <wheeler.andrew@epa.gov>; Darwin, Henry <darwin.henry@epa.gov>; Fotouhi, David <Fotouhi.David@epa.gov>; Packard, Elise <Packard.Elise@epa.gov>; Leadership_Deputy_Assistant_Administrators <Leadership_Deputy_Assistant_Administrators@epa.gov>; Shields, Edward <Shields.Ed@epa.gov>; Molina, Michael <molina.michael@epa.gov>; Wooden-Aguilar, Helena <Wooden-Aguilar.Helena@epa.gov>; Leadership_Deputy_Associate_Administrators <Leadership_Deputy_Associate_Administrators@epa.gov>; Associate Assistant Administrator <Associate_Assistant_Administrator@epa.gov>; Leadership_Deputy_Regional_Administrators <Leadership_Deputy_Regional_Administrators@epa.gov>; OCFO-SROs <OCFO_SROs@epa.gov>; Career Assistant Regional Administrators <Career_Assistant_Regional_Administrators@epa.gov>; Bloom, David <Bloom.David@epa.gov>; Terris, Carol <Terris.Carol@epa.gov>; Hanson, Paige (Catherine) <hanson.catherine@epa.gov>; OBrien, Kathy <Obrien.Kathy@epa.gov>; Brookshire, Malena <Brookshire.Malena@epa.gov>; Church, Zach <Church.Zach@epa.gov>; OCFO-NPM Planning Contacts <OCFONPM_Planning_Contacts@epa.gov>
Subject: Administrator's Decision on FY 2020-2021 Agency Priority Goal Areas

The Administrator has selected six Agency Priority Goal (APG) areas for FY 2020-2021 to advance his priorities. This includes continuing and reframing the focus on air, water, land, and permitting, and adding two new crosscutting APGs—reducing PFAS/PFOA risks and childhood lead exposures. Our submission of APG impact statements is due to OMB by May 10. Please let me know by May 8 if you would like further discussion on any of the APGs.

The attached chart shows the alignment of the FY 2020-2021 APGs with our current FY 2018-2019 APGs. The notes capture some key considerations for measurement of the APGs. My staff will reach out to affected offices to initiate the discussion on measurement implications in addition to identifying the appropriate goal lead and contributing offices for the two new crosscutting APGs.

I look forward to engaging with you over the next few months to develop the approaches and targets that describe the achievements for these APGs. We will feature the FY 2020-2021 APGs in our FY 2021 OMB Submission in September.

Thank you for your leadership and support in this effort to advance the Administrator's priorities.

Holly W. Greaves
Chief Financial Officer
Office of the Chief Financial Officer
Environmental Protection Agency
(202) 564-1151